Agenda for Meeting:

March 25th, 2013

Approximate allocated time ~60 minutes

Attendance: Simona, Leia, Kenny, Mikey, Alysha, Amber, Yin, Yearbook, Stu, Paul, Jenn Ma, Sean Sito, Adam, Jacqui, Kevin, Mona, Lindsey, Duke, Monica, Matt Chow, Fernand

Absent: Angela, Mike DG, Caren, Lewis

A. Call to Order (Adam)

B. Motion to begin- Mona Seconds

C. Bulk Agenda items:

1.

**Subject:** non-voting OPA Cup 2013 for Council information only

**Presenter(s):** Kenny and UPS Athletics

**Time Requested:** <5 minutes

**Topic/Request/Issue**: Letting Council know about the OPA Cup plans and to let people submit ideas, but there is nothing to officially vote upon (since the Budget has already been approved)

* 3000$ for OPA cup
* 500$ arena- varsity stadium
* Practice time 1h
* Microphones
* food at Maddy- 1000$ to feed about 300 people
* game: 5pm
* pep rally: 4pm🡪 PB
* Leaving for 4:45pm
* 50/50 draw
* Door prizes

2.

**Subject:**Monograph – continuing with CU Ads; VOTING

**Presenter(s):**Monica Sanh

**Time Requested:**12minutes

**Topic/Request/Issue:**

It was decided by last year’s UPS council to upgrade the quality of the Monograph, and to sign a contract with CU Ads to help us find ads. Our net revenue from CU Ads is $2,542.62; however, if we were to sign with CU Ads again, we can ask for a minimum net guarantee of $3000.00. The issues would be printed as colour covers, and black interior.

* Sponsors were interested in event bookings
* Need to see if we are going to continue with the monograph as it is
* Issues: color covers and black interiors 🡪 should reduce cost
	+ 28lb paper is cover, 20lb inside- strange
	+ 70lb standard for cover/inside
	+ 24 pages- 326$ plus tax
	+ >24 pages- 366$ plus tax
	+ save 30$ an issue if we get the cheapest quality
	+ this year: 500 copies🡪 1500/issue
	+ then they cut downin nov/dec🡪 now its 700$/issue
	+ if we switch to blackandwhite🡪 will cut the cost in half
	+ if you stuck 20page issues, 300 copies🡪 1800$
	+ we got around 2500$
	+ we can ask for min guarantee of 3000$
	+ sales letter: CU told ads that the black interior 🡪 should get money back (Lindsey)
		- we can ask for a higher minimum next year
	+ The reason why we give CU ads the right to get sponsorships is that we have a hard time getting these sponsors
		- No contact list- monica contacts them personally and we got 100% of the profits
	+ Sponsors: OPA ad (no touch), CPHA, Loval, Londonbeck🡪 one of them is a color sponsored back cover
	+ Amy: Train them
		- As long as they have a good sense of what they think looks nice on the page- should be fine
	+ Can do first issue in color🡪 for frosh
	+ 200 copies is what they are printing right now

Continue with CU ads for Monograph

-guarantees quality

-have minimum guarantee of 3000$

Amber: To be voted on during the turnover meeting since Finance is not present

Tentatively Yes, to be voted on during Turnover meeting

Sean Seconds

ALL FOR:

ALL AGAINST:

ABSTRAIN:

TOTAL:

3. Time start: 11:34pm

**Subject:**Key – continuing with CU Ads; VOTING

**Presenter(s):**Monica Sanh

**Time Requested:**8 minutes

**Topic/Request/Issue:**

Our contract with CU Ads for the Key has ended; we made net $5,267.50 from the ads published. For next year, we can either: (1) continue to publish it and resign another contract with CU Ads; (2) have it available online and not publish any paper copies; (3) have it available online and publish some paper copies. Sophie would prefer to have it available online and have some paper copies available.

* Made money off the key- made 5200$ 🡪 key costs around 3000$ to publish🡪 went to the 1T3 class
* Can have it available online
* Can have some copies print and then also online
* Reason with the privacy concern is that its personal information🡪 didn’t read what they were signing
* Same issue with online – privacy- we have been hacked before
* Took out addresses this year
* Key is very profitable
* They Key- its not that useful, but its about money🡪 it does make quite a bit of money for the graduating class
* Other information on it that’s useful🡪 prof contacts, UPS points information
* People need to read what we send them🡪 need to inform the new years about the privacy issue
* Having the sign up in the summer means that some people wont have current contact information
* It’s hard to send it out by the summer since contacts aren’t finalized

Motion to be resolved at the discussion be tabled until next meeting

Mona Seconds

4. Start time: 11:46pm

**Subject:**careers tab on website and CU Ads; VOTING

**Presenter(s):**Monica Sanh

**Time Requested:**5 minutes

**Topic/Request/Issue:**

We made $500.00 from the ads on our website; there is not much effort required to maintain the careers tab and it is an easy source of sponsorship. Continuing with the careers tab would be beneficial for us

Motion to continue the careers tab

ALL FOR:13

ALL AGAINST: 0

ALL ABSTAIN: 1

MOTION PASSES

5.

**Subject:**Pharmakon and continuing with CU Ads; VOTING

**Presenter(s):**Monica Sanh

**Time Requested:**5 minutes

**Topic/Request/Issue:**

We’ve traditionally signed the same contract with CU Ads for the Pharmakon; there is a minimum net guarantee of $6000. The contract is not available yet, but was signed in early May.

Printing cost: 10,000$

7500$ cover all printing if we make 250 sales every year and can keep it

Motion to continue CU adds for pharmakon with assumption that there will be 6000$ net guarantee

Lindsey Seconds

ALL FOR:13

ALL AGAINST: 0

ALL ABSTAIN: 1

MOTION PASSES

6.

Conflict of Interest: Fernand, Mikey, Sean, Jenn, Kevin, Matt Chow, Kenny

**Subject**: Including SOAPE President as non-voting member of UPS (Voting Item)

**Presenter**: Seann Seto, Kenny Chan

**Time Requested**: 4 minutes

**Topic/Request/Issue**: By holding a non-voting position on UPS, SOAPE will be informed with the most recent updates involving the faculty, allowing for better organization and planning of initiatives. SOAPE will share top priority in booking house time with other UPS events. It will also add formality to the image of SOAPE as a more established organization.

Sean

* Its easier for the SOAPE prez to be on UPS in terms of being up to date on all UPS events and being able to coordinate SOAPE events and UPS support.
* Because of the House time now- SOAPE is on the bottom of the priority list- hard to find guest speakers and when they did find guest speakers, they couldn’t make it so had to get pushed back further
* Doris- plans the house events weeks ahead of time, while committees try to plan events months in advance
* Shows everyone else that both groups (SOAPE/UPS) are working together
	+ IF we just have a Subcommittee nothing will change
* Amber: By promoting a club to the level of UPS🡪 not fair for other committees 🡪 doesn’t seem like it has a place in the constitution
	+ Can still attend meetings🡪 can always attend UPS meetings as a nonvoting member
	+ Can perhaps add it as a subcommittee 🡪
	+ Need to maintain integrity of the UPS council
	+ Should remain separate entity
	+ Conflict of Interest🡪 can apply for fund as a club, and will be sitting on council
	+ Big issue with putting it in constitution
	+ We are showing club privileges
	+ Will open up for other committees to want to be part of UPS
	+ SOAPE Still have room for improvement/ more to prove as a committee
* Sean: a lot of coordination for events🡪 PAW was a lot of organizing
* If the SOAPE team isn’t representative in UPS- wont know to coordinate with Events
* Part of UPS mandate is advocacy🡪 used to have community outreach🡪 turned out to be a fail
	+ Advocacy🡪 lacking in UPS
	+ Don’t have time
	+ Adding someone form SOAPE- may increase awareness and advocacy
	+ Not a voting member so it wont influence how council votes
* Kenny: current hierarchy: house🡪 UPS🡪 clubs
	+ The purpose of this is the image that it provides🡪 to UPS it tells that SOAPE president should have responsibility to be present at council to update UPS about events
	+ If they are designated non-voting ups member
	+ President Will know they are responsible to be part of council
	+ Its about establishing more of a connection to the advocacy than we do
	+ Soape does more than just advocacy stuff
* Yin: Community Outreach back in 2010 (forth year students)
	+ Thinks that it will download all advocacy outreach to SOAPE
	+ Can open it up to student body to see what they think
	+ Transition meeting: can see what the student body thinks
	+ KEVIN: Need to be an unbiased thing 🡪 should have a presenter that is non bias (not Kenny or Sean)
		- Shouldn’t promote soape- only thing we can say is that what is the advantage and disadvantage of having soape on UPS
		- Should have short power point and then listserve advantages and disadvantages
	+ LINDSEY: I think that most of the student body knows what SOAPE is
		- Ask school if they want SOAPE to represent them on UPS council
	+ AMBER: its not that we need to tell them what SOAPE 🡪 its about if they should be represented on the UPS council
	+ AMY: shouldn’t we just have 1 power point slide and then one person present
	+ Kenny: I think that listserv is the best way since the tone is neutral
		- Should have 3 choices: Member as UPS, subcommittee, or just sit in as a student member
* Kevin: Would just expect them to do what they are doing regardless if they are part of UPS or not
	+ Outreach role was downloaded onto external affairs
	+ Don’t think we should add advocacy roles to current members
	+ Part of the growing process is getting a seat on UPS council
	+ Argues that they are the advocacy face of UOFT
	+ Yearbook, Monograph, etc all get funding from UPS So being part of Council wouldn’t put them at conflict of interest since all those other committees request for funding too. (Amber means Student Initiative fund)
	+ Being on subcommittee wont give them recognition
	+ Should have it on Class council vote – too soon for the UPS elections
* Lindsey: Why is it that CAPSI is a club and has 2 positions on council
* Mikey: CAPSI used to be just a club, and asked to be part of UPS 🡪 and now its really big
* SOAPE will be a non-voting member🡪 they can operate as a certain entity
* Cant really change the constitution right now
* Giving them a chance next year as a trial

Kevin: push it to September

Amber motions to be resolved that we table this motion for further discussion from student body following 2012-2013 class elections where we will formally pole students and gather feedback and make the decision thereafter

Vote at class council following nonbias email from UPS speaker

Duke seconds

Lindsey Motions for Adam speaker of ups writes an impartial nonbiased email approved by council and distributed to the student body

Mona seconds

ALL FOR: 14

ALL AGAINST: 0

ALL ABSTAIN: 0

Motion passes

MOTION PASSES

7.

**Subject:** Approval of constitution

**Presenter:** Adam Aasen

**Time Requested:** 2minutes

**Topic/Request/Issue:** As per the previous meeting, the constitution must be approved in its entirety by the council.

Motion that the 2013/2014 UPS CONSTITUION HAS BEEN APPROVED

MOTION

ALL FOR: 13

ALL AGAINST: 0

ABSTAIN: 1

MOTION PASSES

D. Additional business?

E. Setting of next meeting date (tenative)

F. Motion to Adjourn (chair)

VOTING FOR UPS MEMBERS:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Y | N | A |  |
| Aarti | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 absent |
| Amir | 1 | 5 | 6 | 2 absent |
| Kevin | 12 |  | 1 | 2 absent |
| Hazel | 13 |  |  | 2 absent |